

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,938	08/29/2001	Koichiro Kishima	SON-2217	5834	
23353	7590 08/07/				
	SHMAN & GRAU	EXAMINER			
	DING STREET N.W., SUI FON, DC 20036	501	SCHWARTZ, JO	SCHWARTZ, JORDAN MARC	
WASHING	ION, DC 20030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2873		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Im
7	_			•
Office Action St	ımmarv	09/940,938	KISHIMA ET AL.	
	y	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of	this communication and	Jordan M. Schwartz	with the correspondence addr	
Period for Reply	uns communication app	rears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later th earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply to, the maximum statutory period v ded period for reply will, by statute an three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Minus to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	nunication.
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 29 /	<i>May 2003</i> .		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2 b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application in closed in accordance to Disposition of Claims	s in condition for allowa with the practice under	ince except for formal n Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the cC.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pe	nding in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s	s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are al	lowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is	s/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 12</u> is/are	objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are sub Application Papers	ject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
9) ☐ The specification is object	cted to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on _			the Examiner.	
			yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)⊠ The proposed drawing co				aminer.
	awings are required in rep		•	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made	de of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)				
1. Certified copies of	f the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of	f the priority documents	have been received in	Application No	
3. ☐ Copies of the cert	ified copies of the prior	ity documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Sta	age
14) Acknowledgment is made				plication).
a) ☐ The translation of th 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made	e foreign language prov	visional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)			•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)	ving Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-19	
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 9	

· Application/Control Number: 09/940,938

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al patent number 4,662,717.

Yamada et al reads on these claims by disclosing the limitations therein including the following: an optical system (abstract, Figure 8) comprising first and second optical lenses arranged to have coinciding or substantially coinciding optical axes (Figure 8 re elements "10" and "20a"); the first optical lens has a convex portion serving as a convex lens and an outer circumference portion around the convex portion (Figure 8, lens "20a"); a thickness of the outer circumference portion is greater than a thickness at the convex portion (Figure 8, lens "20a" where the outer circumference portion "24" has a thickness greater than at the convex portion "21"); the outer circumference portion of the first optical lens and of the second optical lens are fixed in place relative to each other (Figure 8 with outer circumference portion "24" of lens "20A" being connected to outer circumference portion "12" of lens "10"); and a convex portion of the first optical lens faces the second lens (Figure 8 i.e. a convex portion of lens "20A" faces lens "10"). Yamada, discloses as is set forth above and the ball and joint fittings of Yamada (Figure

8 and column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 10) can inherently be considered as "bonded" (with Webster's dictionary having a definition of "bonded" as being "fastened together"). Yamada et al further discloses a facing surface of the outer circumferential portion of the first and second surface as flat or approximately flat (Figure 8 with the portion above or below the knob "23" of both lens "20A" and "10" as flat); and the first optical lens further comprising a flat portion positioned around the convex portion and a thickness at the outer circumference greater than at the flat portion (Figure 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims and 5, 7-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al.

In reference to these claims, Yamada et al discloses as is set forth above and further discloses: the claimed facing surfaces as vertical or substantially vertical (Figure 8, with the portion above and/or below the knob "23" of both lens "20A" and "10" as vertical); the claimed mounting surface of the first optical lens as flat (Figure 8, with the portion above and/or below the knob "23" of lens "20A" as flat); and a bottom surface of the outer circumference portion of the second optical lens as flat (Figure 8, with the bottom portion of lens "10" as flat or the surface where "12A" is pointing in Figure 8 as a flat bottom surface of lens "10"). Yamada discloses as is set forth above but does not

Application/Control Number: 09/940,938

Art Unit: 2873

specifically disclose the surfaces being bonded together "with an adhesive". However, Yamada discloses the surfaces as being attached together by joint fittings (Figure 8 and column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 10). The examiner takes Judicial Notice of the equivalence of joint fittings (such as dovetail joints etc) and adhesive (such as cement) as means of attaching surfaces together. Furthermore, Yamada specifically states that it is using these joint fittings instead of using cement for the purpose of attaching the surfaces (column 1, lines 12 to column 2, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the outer circumferential portions of the lenses of Yamada as being attached by adhesive instead of by use of joint fittings as disclosed since the examiner takes Judicial Notice of the equivalence of joint fittings and adhesive as means of attaching surfaces together and since Yamada teaches that it is using these joint fittings instead of using cement to attach the surfaces thereby making obvious the use of adhesive as a means of attaching the lenses together. In reference to claims 5 and 11, since these claims are broadly claiming "an intermediate member" than the use of an adhesive such as glue between the surfaces can be considered as "an intermediate member".

Allowable Subject Matter

The allowability of claims 3, 5 and 10-11 have been withdrawn based upon the rejections set forth above.

Claims 13-18 are allowed.

Claims 6 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: with respect to the allowable subject matter, none of he prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach the claimed combination of limitations. Specifically, with reference to claims 6 and 12, none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of the claimed optical system having the claimed first and second optical lenses with the specific structure as claimed, the outer circumferences fixed in place or bonded as claimed, and specifically further with the second optical lens having the claimed two convex surfaces and the first optical lens serving as a convex lens i.e. as a positive lens. Specifically, with reference to claims 13-18 none of the prior art either alone or in combination disclose or teach of the claimed optical system having the claimed first and second optical lenses with the specific structure as claimed, the outer circumferences fixed in place relative to one another as claimed, and specifically further with the claimed optical lenses in an optical pickup comprising the claimed laser, optical system for focusing and photodetector.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Though the applicant has amended the rejected claims, the examiner decided to make this action non-final, particularly in light of claim 10. Claim 10 was previously

Art Unit: 2873

indicated as allowable and applicant in effect has put claim 10 in independent form with the exception of clarifying a 112 rejection raised by the examiner. Therefore in fairness the examiner has made this office action non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan M. Schwartz whose telephone number is (703) 308-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (8:00-5:30), alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached at (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jordan M. Schwartz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

July 30, 2003